Bimodal distribution function of a three-dimensional wormlike chain with a fixed orientation of one end

F. F. Semeriyanov and S. Stepanow

Institut für Physik, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, D-06099 Halle, Germany (Received 1 August 2006; revised manuscript received 2 April 2007; published 1 June 2007)

We study the distribution function of a three-dimensional wormlike chain with a fixed orientation of one chain end using the exact representation of the distribution function in terms of the Green's function of the quantum rigid rotator in a homogeneous external field. The transverse one-dimensional distribution function of the free chain end displays a bimodal shape in the intermediate range of chain lengths $(1.3L_p, \ldots, 3.5L_p)$. We also present analytical results for short and long chains, which are in complete agreement with the results of previous studies obtained using different methods.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.75.061801

PACS number(s): 36.20.-r, 61.41.+e, 82.37.Rs

I. INTRODUCTION

Polymers with contour length L much larger than the persistence length L_n , which is the correlation length for the tangent-tangent correlation function along the polymer and is a quantitative measure of the polymer stiffness, are flexible and are described by using the tools of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory [1-6]. If the chain length decreases, the chain stiffness becomes an important factor. Many polymer molecules have internal stiffness and cannot be modeled by the model of flexible polymers developed by Edwards [1]. The standard coarse-graining model of a wormlike polymer was proposed by Kratky and Porod [7]. The essential ingredients of this model are the penalty for the bending energy and the local inextensibility. The latter makes the treatment of the model much more difficult. There have been a substantial number of studies of the Kratky-Porod model in the last half century [8-20] (and citations therein). In recent years there has been increasing interest in the theoretical description of semiflexible polymers [21-35]. The reason for this interest is due to potential applications in biology [36] (and citations therein) and in research on semicrystalline polymers [37].

It was found in the recent numerical work by Lattanzi et al. [38], and studied analytically in [39] within the effective medium approach, that the transverse distribution function of a polymer embedded in two-dimensional space possesses a bimodal shape for short polymers, which is considered to be a manifestation of the semiflexibility. The bimodal shape for the related distribution function of the two-dimensional (2D) polymer was also found in recent exact calculations by Spakowitz and Wang [40] and Chaudhuri [41]. In this paper, we study the transverse distribution function $G(\mathbf{t}_0, x=0, y, N)$ of a three-dimensional wormlike chain with a fixed orientation \mathbf{t}_0 of one polymer end, using the exact representation of the distribution function in terms of the matrix element of the Green's function of the quantum rigid rotator in a homogeneous external field [33]. The exact solution of the Green's function made it possible to compute the quantities such as the structure factor, the end-to-end distribution function, etc., almost exactly in a definite range of parameters [25,29,33]. Our practically exact calculations of the transverse distribution function of the 3D wormlike chain demonstrate that it possesses a bimodal shape in the intermediate range of chain lengths $(1.3L_p, \ldots, 3.5L_p)$. In addition, we present analytical results for short and long wormlike chain based on the exact formula (1), which are in complete agreement with the previous results obtained in different ways the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) method for short polymers [19] and perturbation theory for large chains [18].

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the formalism and analytical considerations for short and large polymers. Section III contains results of the numerical computation of the distribution function for polymers with different numbers of monomers.

II. ANALYTICAL TREATMENT

The Fourier-Laplace transform of the distribution function of the free end of the wormlike chain with a fixed orientation $\mathbf{t}_0 = d\mathbf{r}(s)/ds|_{s=0}$ of the second end is expressed, according to [33], in a compact form through the matrix elements of the Green's function of the quantum rigid rotator in a homogeneous external field $\tilde{P}^s(k,p)$ as

$$G(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, p) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle 0 | \widetilde{P}^s(k, p) | l \rangle \sqrt{2l+1} P_l(\mathbf{t}_0 \mathbf{n}), \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{k}/k$, $P_l(x)$ are Legendre polynomials, and $\tilde{P}^s(k,p)$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{P}^{s}(k,p) = (1 + ikDM^{s})^{-1}D, \qquad (2)$$

with D and M^s being the infinite-order square matrices given by

$$D_{l,l'} = \frac{\delta_{l,l'}}{p + \frac{l(l+1)}{2}}, \quad M_{l,l'}^s = w_l \delta_{l,l'+1} + w_{l+1} \delta_{l+1,l'}, \quad (3)$$

and $w_l = \sqrt{l^2/(4l^2-1)}$. The matrix *D* is related to the energy eigenvalues of the free rigid rotator, while M^s gives the matrix elements of the homogeneous external field. Since $\tilde{P}^s(k,p)$ is the infinite-order matrix, a truncation is necessary in the performing calculations. The truncation of the infinite-

order matrix of the Green's function by the $(n \times n)$ -order matrix contains all moments of the end-to-end chain distance, and describes the first 2n-2 moments exactly. The projected transverse distribution function we consider, $G(\mathbf{t}_0, x=0, y, N)$, is obtained from $G(\mathbf{t}_0, x, y, z, N)$, which is determined by Eqs. (1)–(3), integrating it over the *z* coordinate, and imposing the condition that the free end of the chain stays in the x=0 plane. As a result we obtain

$$G(\mathbf{t}_{0}, x = 0, y, N) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ G(\mathbf{t}_{0}, x = 0, y, z, N)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} k \ dk \ G(\mathbf{t}_{0}, \mathbf{k}|_{k_{z}=0}, N) J_{0}(ky), \tag{4}$$

where $J_0(ky)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind [42]. Taking the *z* axis to be in the direction of \mathbf{t}_0 yields $\mathbf{t}_0\mathbf{n}=0$, so that the arguments of the Legendre polynomials in Eq. (1) become zero, and consequently only even *l* will contribute to the distribution function (4).

A. Short wormlike chain

We now will consider the expansion of (1) around the rod limit $N \rightarrow 0$, which corresponds to the expansion of $G(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{k}, p)$ in inverse powers of p. To derive such an expansion, we write D in the equivalent form as

$$D = D^0 - \frac{1}{p}ED \tag{5}$$

with $D_{l,l'}^0 = p^{-1} \delta_{l,l'}$ and $E_{l,l'} = l(l+1)/2 \delta_{l,l'}$. Further, we introduce the notation $(1 + ikDM^s)^{-1} = y_0 \tilde{y}$ with y_0 and \tilde{y} defined by

$$y_0 = (1 + ikD^0M^s)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{y} = 1 + ik\frac{E}{p}DM^s y_0 \tilde{y}.$$
 (6)

The iteration of D and \tilde{y} results in the desired expansion of \tilde{y} and consequently of $G(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, p)$ in inverse powers of p, which corresponds to an expansion of $G(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, N)$ in powers of N. The leading order term in the short chain expansion is obtained by replacing D by D^0 in Eq. (1) as

$$G_0(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, p) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left[y_0 D^0 \right]_{0l} \sqrt{2l+1} P_l(\mathbf{t}_0 \mathbf{n}).$$
(7)

The latter coincides with the expansion of the plane wave $\begin{bmatrix} 43 \end{bmatrix}$

$$e^{-ikr\cos\theta} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l (2l+1) \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^l \left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right)^l \frac{\sin kr}{kr} P_l(\cos\theta), \quad (8)$$

where $\cos \theta = \mathbf{t}_0 \mathbf{n}$ is the angle between the tangent \mathbf{t}_0 and the wave vector \mathbf{k} . The connection of $G_0(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, p)$ with the plane wave expansion is due to the fact that the Kratky-Porod chain becomes a stiff rod in the limit of small *N*. We have checked the equivalency between the plane wave expansion (8) and the distribution function (7) term by term by expanding (7) in series in powers of the wave vector *k*. The arc

length N is equivalent for a stiff rod in the units under consideration to the chain end-to-end distance r. In the r space the plane wave (8) corresponds to the stiff rod distribution function

$$G(\mathbf{t}_0, r, N)|_{N \to 0} = \delta(x)\,\delta(y)\,\delta(z - N).$$
(9)

The iteration of D in (5) and \tilde{y} in (6) generates an expansion of $G(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, p)$ in inverse powers of p. The corrections to the plane wave to order $1/p^3$ are obtained as

$$G_{1}(\mathbf{t}_{0},\mathbf{k},p) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left[-y_{0} \frac{E}{p} D^{0} + y_{0} \left(\frac{E}{p} \right)^{2} D^{0} + i k y_{0} \frac{E}{p} D^{0} M^{s} y_{0} D^{0} \right]_{0l} \sqrt{2l+1} P_{l}(\mathbf{t}_{0}\mathbf{n}) + \cdots$$

The above procedure yields for l=0 the short chain expansion of the distribution function of the free Kratky-Porod chain, which was studied recently in [34]. Unfortunately, we did not succeed yet in analytical evaluation of $G_1(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{k}, N)$. Such computation would be an interesting alternative to the treatment of the short limit of the wormlike chain by Yamakawa and Fujii [19] within the WKB method. Nevertheless, following the consideration in [34] we succeeded in computing the anisotropic moments $\langle (\mathbf{rt}_0)^n \rangle$ for small N,

$$\langle (\mathbf{r}t_0)^n \rangle = N^n \left(1 - \frac{n}{2}N + \frac{n(5n-1)}{24}N^2 + \cdots \right).$$
 (10)

The first-order correction coincides with that obtained in [19] using the WKB method, while the second-order correction is to our knowledge new. The higher-order terms in (10) can be established in a straightforward way using the present method. Note that the computation of $\langle (\mathbf{rt}_0)^n \rangle$ does not require the knowledge of the full distribution function $G(\mathbf{t}_0, r, N)$.

B. Large chain

In studying the end-to-end distribution function for large N we utilize the following procedure. We expand first the expression $\langle 0|\tilde{P}^s(k,p)|l\rangle$ in powers of k^2 . The structure of this expansion for l=0 is presented in Table I. The subseries in powers of k^2 in the *q*th column are denoted by T_q^l . Thus we have

$$G_l(k,p) \equiv \sqrt{2l+1} \langle 0 | \widetilde{P}^s(k,p) | l \rangle = \sum_q T_q^l.$$

The series T_q^l with small values l and q possess a simple structure and can be summed up. For example, T_1^0 and T_2^0 are given by

$$T_1^0 = 3\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} w_1^{2m} k^{2m-2}}{p^m} = \frac{1}{k^2/3 + p},$$

$$T_2^0 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} w_1^{2m} k^{2m}}{p^m} m \left(1 + \frac{w_2^2 k^2}{p}\right) = \frac{k^2 (45p + 4k^2)}{15(k^2 + 3p)^2}.$$

While T_1^0 corresponds to the distribution function of the Gaussian chain, T_q^0 give the *q*th corrections to the Gaussian

TABLE I. Structure of expansion of $G_0(k,p)$.

			a
	1	2	3
k^0	$\frac{1}{p}$		
<i>k</i> ²	$\frac{-w_1^2}{p^2}$	$\frac{w_1^2}{p}$	$-w_{1}^{2}$
<i>k</i> ⁴	$\frac{w_1^4}{p^3}$	$\frac{-6w_1^4 + w_1^2w_2^2}{3p^2}$	$\frac{27w_1^4 - 7w_1^2w_2^2}{9p}$
<i>k</i> ⁶	$\frac{-w_1^6}{p^4}$	$\frac{9w_1^6 - 2w_1^4w_2^2}{3p^3}$	$\frac{-324w_1^6 + 120w_1^4w_2^2 - w_2^2w_1^2w_3^2 - 6w_2^4w_1^2}{54p^2}$
k ⁸ :	$\frac{w_1^8}{p^5}$	$\frac{w_1^6 w_2^2 - 4w_1^8}{p^4}$	$\frac{\frac{-117w_1^6w_2^2 + w_1^4w_2^2w_3^2 + 270w_1^8 + 9w_1^4w_2^4}{27p^3}}{\vdots}$

distribution. The inspection of the series for T_1^1 and T_2^1 shows that they are expressed by T_1^0 and T_2^0 as

$$T_1^1 = -ikT_1^0, \qquad T_2^1 = -\frac{3ip}{k}T_2^0.$$

However, it seems that there is no general recursion relation for T_q^l . The results of computations of $G_l(k,p)$ for l = 1,2,3,4 by taking into account q=1,2,3 (l=0) and q = 1,2 ($l \neq 0$) are summarized in Table II.

The inverse Laplace-Fourier transform of $G_l(k,p)$ given in Table II yields the expansion of the end-to-end distribution function $G(R, \Theta, t)$ to order $O(t^{-2})$ as

TABLE II. Results for $G_l(k,p)$ for l=0,1,2,3,4.

l	$G_l(k,p)$
0	$\frac{1}{k^2/3+p} + \frac{k^2(45p+4k^2)}{15(k^2+3p)^2} - \frac{k^2(4725p^3+90k^4p+980k^2p^2+2k^6)}{525(k^2+3p)^3} \dots$
1	$\frac{-3ik}{k^2+3p} - \frac{1/5ikp(4k^2+45p)}{(k^2+3p)^2} \dots$
2	$\frac{k^2}{3k^2+9p} + \frac{1}{210} \frac{k^2(k^4+45k^2p+280p^2)}{(k^2+3p)^2} \dots$
3	$\frac{ik^3}{15k^2+45p} + \frac{1}{2025} \frac{ik^5(65k^2+294p)}{(k^2+3p)^2} \dots$
4	$\frac{2}{525}\frac{k^4}{k^2+3p} + \frac{1}{259875}\frac{508k^8+2250k^6p}{(k^2+3p)^2}\dots$



FIG. 1. Normalized distribution function $G(\mathbf{t}_0, x=0, y, N)$ for various chain lengths, computed with 20×20 matrices. The insets show the distribution function at the onset of bimodality, and in the region of its disappearance.

$$\begin{aligned} G(R,\Theta,t) &= (4\pi)^{-1} \left(\frac{3}{2\pi t}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{3R^2}{2t}\right) \\ &\times \left[1 - \frac{5}{8t} + 2\frac{R^2}{t^2} - \frac{33}{40}\frac{R^4}{t^3} + \frac{6799}{1600}\frac{R^4}{t^4} - \frac{3441}{1400}\frac{R^6}{t^5} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1089}{3200}\frac{R^8}{t^6} - \frac{329}{240}\frac{R^2}{t^3} - \frac{79}{640t^2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{R}{t} + \frac{153}{40}\frac{R^3}{t^3} - \frac{99}{80}\frac{R^5}{t^4} - \frac{25}{16}\frac{R}{t^2}\right)P_1(\cos\Theta) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{R^2}{t^2} + \frac{961}{560}\frac{R^4}{t^4} - \frac{33}{80}\frac{R^6}{t^5} - \frac{67}{60}\frac{R^2}{t^3}\right)P_2(\cos\Theta) \\ &+ \frac{3}{40}\frac{R^3}{t^3}P_3(\cos\Theta) + \frac{9}{1400}\frac{R^4}{t^4}P_4(\cos\Theta) \bigg], \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where t=N/2, R=r/2, and Θ is the angle between **R** and **t**₀. The latter is in accordance with the result by Gobush *et al.* [18] derived in a different way. The expansion of $G(R, \Theta, t)$ for large N can be extended in a straightforward way to include higher-order corrections.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The computation of the distribution function of the polymer with fixed orientation of one end is performed by truncating the infinite-order matrices in (1) with finite ones, and by taking into account a finite number of terms in the summation over l. The inverse Laplace transform of (1) is carried out with MAPLE. The results of the calculation of the projected distribution function $G(\mathbf{t}_0, x=0, y, N)$, using truncations with (20×20)-order matrices and restricting the summation over the quantum number l at l=8, are given in Fig. 1. The results show that the distribution function possesses a bimodal shape at intermediate chain lengths within the interval $N \in 1.3, ..., 3.5$. We also find that the distribution function

tion becomes Gaussian for very short and very long chains. At the onset there is a tiny maximum at y=0, which we interpret as a remnant of the Gaussian behavior of short chains. The maximum at y=0 for the 3D chain is a rather small effect, which is difficult to explain in a qualitative way.

We now will discuss qualitatively the origin of the bimodal behavior of the projected distribution function of the free end of the wormlike chain. The very short wormlike chain behaves similarly to a weakly bending stiff rod, so that the distribution function of the free end is Gaussian with the maximum at y=0. The typical conformation of the chain in this regime looks like a bending rod with constant sign of curvature along the chain. For larger contour lengths, the curvature fluctuations are small and are still controlled by the bending energy, but, with varying signs of curvature along the chain. The typical conformation of the chain can be imagined as undulations along the average conformation of the polymer. The projected distribution function of the free end in this regime is expected to be roughly uniform within some range of y. We expect that the inhomogeneities of curvature fluctuations in the vicinity of the clamped end are the reason for the maximum at $y \neq 0$. The larger curvatures in the vicinity of the fixed end result in larger displacements y of the free end, and therefore contribute preferentially to the maximum at $y \neq 0$. With further increase of N the conformations correspond to undulations around the average conformation of the chain, which is now a meandering line. Fluctuations become now less controlled by bending energy, which results in weakening of the bimodality. Since the difference between the 2D and 3D chains is assumed to be marginal for short chains on the projected distribution function, we will compare the onset of the bimodality in both cases. Because the transversal displacement is measured in both cases in units of the contour length, we have to recompute the number of segments for 3D and 2D chains at the onset according to

$$N(3) = \frac{L}{L_p(3)} = \frac{L_p(2)}{L_p(3)} \frac{L}{L_p(2)} = \frac{L_p(2)}{L_p(3)} N(2).$$

Using the dependence of the persistence length on dimensionality [39], $L_p(d) \sim 1/(d-1)$, we obtain N(3)=2N(2). According to [38] $N(2) \approx 0.75$ at the onset, hence we obtain $N(3) \approx 1.5$, which is not far from our numerical result, $N(3) \approx 1.3$ (see Fig. 1).

We now will address the issue of accuracy of the calculations, which depends on the size of the matrices D and M^s , and the maximal l at which the summation over l is stopped. In order to check our computation we verified that at large N(N=100) the numerical evaluation of (4) gives with very high accuracy the Gaussian distribution 3/(2N)exp ($-3y^2/4N$). The general tendency is such that the sufficient level of matrix truncations and the number of terms in the expansion over the Legendre polynomials increase with decreasing N. In the limit $N \rightarrow 0$ the whole series over l should be taken into account. We have tested the accuracy of the computations of the distribution function for N=0.5 by using truncations to 13×13 matrices and 20×20 matrices, and stopping summation in both cases at l=8. The results coin-



FIG. 2. Comparison of the computation of the end-to-end distribution function of a free polymer (continuous curves) using truncations by 20×20 matrices with Monte Carlo data [26] represented by symbols: squares, N=4; circles, N=3.85; triangles, N=3.7.

cide exactly in both cases. The corrections due to higher l's are negligibly small. For example, the corrections associated with l=10 and 12 contribute only in the third and fifth decimal digits, respectively. Thus, the computations depicted in Fig. 1 can be considered as exact.

We have computed the distribution function $G_0(r, N)$ of a free 3D wormlike chain by performing the inverse Laplace-Fourier transform of the term l=0 in Eq. (1) for values of L/L_p available in Monte Carlo simulations [21]. Our results are in excellent agreement with the numerical data. Figure 2 shows the comparison of the computation of the 3D distribution function of the free polymer for values of $N=L/L_p$ corresponding to those in the inset of Fig. 2 in [26]. Our results are in very good agreement (except very small r) with the double-humped form of the distribution function of the free wormlike chain, which was observed in Monte Carlo simulations by Dhar and Chaudhuri.

Bimodality of the projected distribution function for a wormlike chain with fixed orientation of one end appears for smaller values of $N=L/L_p$ than the double-humped behavior of the free chain. In the case of the projected transverse distribution function studied here (and in [38-41] for 2D chain), the free end of the chain is not required to return to the origin. Therefore, in agreement with intuition one expects that for chains with the same L_p but different arc lengths L the double-humped behavior of the free chain will appear at larger L. In a recent study of the distribution function of a free wormlike chain and chains grafted at one and both ends [41] the double maxima appear at lower values of N for chains less subjected to constraints. In the context of the discussion in terms of chains with the same persistence length, the free chain is expected to exhibit a maximum at the origin at smaller L.

IV. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we have studied the transverse distribution function of the free end of a three-dimensional wormlike chain with fixed orientation and position of the second end using the exact solution for the Green's function of the wormlike chain. Within the procedure of truncations of the exact formula with finite-order matrices we find that the projected distribution function $G(\mathbf{t}_0, x=0, y, N)$ for intermediate chain lengths belonging to the interval $1.3L_p, \ldots, 3.5L_p$ possesses a bimodal shape with maxima at a finite value of the transverse displacement, which is consistent with the recent studies [38-40] for a two-dimensional chain. For a 2D wormlike chain, it was previously demonstrated that the distribution function exhibits a trimodal shape in the region of the onset of bimodality and its disappearance at larger *N*. For a 3D chain the transverse 1d distribution function shows only a tiny peak at y=0 in the vicinity of the onset of bimodality. This feature is not present for larger *N*. The bimodality of the projected distribution function for a chain with fixed orientation of one end appears for smaller values of N than the double-humped behavior of the free chain. We present also analytical results for short and large polymers which are in complete agreement with the classical works [9,18,19], where these limits were investigated using different methods. The computation of the three-dimensional distribution function of a free polymer is in excellent agreement with the Monte Carlo simulations in [21,26].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft SFB 418 is gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] S. F. Edwards, Proc. Phys. Soc. London 85, 613 (1965).
- [2] P. G. de Gennes, Rep. Prog. Phys. 32, 187 (1969).
- [3] P. G. de Gennes, Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 1979).
- [4] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1986).
- [5] J. des Cloizeaux and G. Jannink, *Polymers in Solution, Their Modeling, and Structure* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990).
- [6] L. Schäfer, *Excluded Volume Effects in Polymer Solutions* (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
- [7] O. Kratky and G. Porod, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 68, 1106 (1949).
- [8] J. J. Hermans and R. Ullman, Physica (Amsterdam) 18, 951 (1952).
- [9] H. S. Daniels, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A: Math. Phys. Sci. 63, 29 (1952).
- [10] S. Heine, O. Kratky, and J. Roppert, Makromol. Chem. 56, 150 (1962).
- [11] N. Saito, K. Takahashi, and Y. J. Yunoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 22, 219 (1967).
- [12] K. F. Freed, Adv. Chem. Phys. 22, 1 (1972).
- [13] M. Fixman and J. J. Kovac, J. Chem. Phys. 58, 1564 (1973).
- [14] J. des Cloizeaux, Macromolecules **6**, 403 (1973).
- [15] T. Yoshizaki and H. Yamakawa, Macromolecules 13, 1518 (1980).
- [16] M. Warner, J. M. F. Gunn, and A. B. Baumgartner, J. Phys. A 18, 3007 (1985).
- [17] A. Kholodenko, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 202, 186 (1990); J. Chem. Phys. 96, 700 (1991).
- [18] W. Gobush, H. Yamakawa, W. H. Stockmayer, and W. S. Magee, J. Chem. Phys. 57, 2839 (1972).
- [19] H. Yamakawa and M. Fujii, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 6641 (1973).
- [20] H. Yamakawa, *Helical Wormlike Chains in Polymer Solutions* (Springer, Berlin, 1997).
- [21] J. Wilhelm and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2581 (1996).
- [22] G. Gompper and T. W. Burkhardt, Phys. Rev. A 40, 6124 (1989); Macromolecules 31, 2679 (1998).

- [23] E. Frey, K. Kroy, J. Wilhelm, and E. Sackmann, in *Dynamical Networks in Physics and Biology*, edited by G. Forgacs and D. Beysens (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [24] G. S. Chirikjian and Y. F. Wang, Phys. Rev. E 62, 880 (2000).
- [25] J. Samuel and S. Sinha, Phys. Rev. E 66, 050801(R) (2002).
- [26] A. Dhar and D. Chaudhuri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 065502 (2002).
- [27] S. Stepanow and G. M. Schütz, Europhys. Lett. **60**, 546 (2002).
- [28] R. G. Winkler, J. Chem. Phys. 118, 2919 (2003).
- [29] A. J. Spakowitz and Z.-G. Wang, Macromolecules **37**, 5814 (2004).
- [30] G. A. Carri and M. Marucho, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 6064 (2004).
- [31] B. Hamprecht, W. Janke, and H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. A **330**, 254 (2004).
- [32] J. F. Marko and E. D. Siggia, Macromolecules **28**, 8759 (1995).
- [33] S. Stepanow, Eur. Phys. J. B 39, 499 (2004).
- [34] S. Stepanow, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, 1799 (2005).
- [35] P. A. Wiggins and P. C. Nelson, Phys. Rev. E 73, 031906 (2006).
- [36] J.-F. Allemand, S. Coccoa, N. Douarche, and G. Lia, Eur. Phys. J. E 19, 293 (2006).
- [37] T. Shimada, M. Doi, and K. Okano, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 2815 (1988).
- [38] G. Lattanzi, T. Munk, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. E 69, 021801 (2004).
- [39] P. Benetatos, T. Munk, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. E 72, 030801(R) (2005).
- [40] A. J. Spakowitz and Z.-G. Wang, Phys. Rev. E 72, 041802 (2005).
- [41] D. Chaudhuri, Phys. Rev. E 75, 021803 (2007).
- [42] Handbook of Mathematical Functions, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Dover Publications, New York, 1965).
- [43] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1984), Sec. 34.